UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/511,335	05/05/2005	Erich Reitinger	8074-25 (P18005 SB/gra)	2375
	7590 02/27/200 SSOCIATES, LLC	9	EXAMINER	
130 WOODBU	RY ROAD		GRAVINI, STEPHEN MICHAEL	
WOODBURY,	N1 11/9/		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3743	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			02/27/2009	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

## Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
10/511,335	REITINGER, ERICH		
Examiner	Art Unit		
	7		

	Stephen M. Gravini	3743	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence add	ress
THE REPLY FILED <u>06 February 2009</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS	APPLICATION IN CONDITION FO	R ALLOWANCE.	
1.  The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on application, applicant must timely file one of the following application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appelor Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 Coperiods:	replies: (1) an amendment, affidavit eal (with appeal fee) in compliance	t, or other evidence, w with 37 CFR 41.31; or	which places the (3) a Request
a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire to Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or ( MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07()	dvisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in ter than SIX MONTHS from the mailing b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE	date of the final rejection	on.
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extunder 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL	on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.1: ension and the corresponding amount on thortened statutory period for reply origing than three months after the mailing date	of the fee. The appropria nally set in the final Office	ate extension fee be action; or (2) as
2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exter Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed w AMENDMENTS	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of the	
<ul> <li>The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, to the proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, to the proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, to the proposed amendment (sometiment).</li> <li>They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE beloton) for the proposed are not deemed to place the application in bet appeal; and/or</li> <li>They present additional claims without canceling a content of the proposed amendment (sometiment).</li> </ul>	nsideration and/or search (see NOT w); ter form for appeal by materially rec corresponding number of finally reje	E below); ducing or simplifying th	
NOTE: See Continuation Sheet. (See 37 CFR 1.14.   The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.125.  Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):  Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all non-allowable claim(s).  To purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is proving the proposed.	21. See attached Notice of Non-Cor lowable if submitted in a separate, t	imely filed amendmer	nt canceling the
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:  Claim(s) allowed:  Claim(s) objected to:  Claim(s) rejected: 1,3-12 and 14-19.  Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: 21.  AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE  8.  The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, bu	t before or on the date of filing a No	otice of Appeal will <b>n</b> ot	: be entered
because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).  9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to o	d sufficient reasons why the affidavi a Notice of Appeal, but prior to the	t or other evidence is date of filing a brief, v	necessary and vill not be
showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary 10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	and was not earlier presented. Seen of the status of the claims after er	ee 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1 htry is below or attach	). ed.
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered bu The petitionable matter (restriction) represents an undue requirement because examiner must distinguish the diffe aruges that the prior art does not expressly discloses tre inherently meet that claim limitation because the therma environment.	e burden on the Office for the reaso ering subject matter among thousar atment gas, but the heating system I treatment would heat the surround	ns discussed in the rends of prior art referfer uses a fluid such tha	estriction nces. Applicant t it would
<ul><li>12. ☐ Note the attached Information <i>Disclosure Statement</i>(s). (</li><li>13. ☐ Other:</li></ul>	(PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)		

Application No.

/Stephen M. Gravini/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 3743

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-303 (Rev. 08-06)

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Part of Paper No. 20090220

Continuation of 3. NOTE: The amendment change the scope of the invention. The new scope of the claimed invention is such that it reaises new issues that would require further consideration and/or search. Prosecution on the mertis is closed and it would not be proper to reopening prosecution to consider the new claims under the changed scope of the invention. The amanedment is such that it is not deemed of place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal.